

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS. P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,001	06/24/2003	C. Paul Cox	P-137 / TEP0237-01	1629
832 7590 07/26/2007 BAKER & DANIELS LLP 1.11 E. WAYNE STREET SUITE 800 FORT WAYNE, IN 46802		•	EXAMINER	
			FREAY, CHARLES GRANT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

_	
11	
ı"	
n	
71	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/603,001	COX ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles G. Freay	3746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	ay 2007.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 13-16 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,7,17-19 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-6, 8-12 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment of May 25, 2007. In making the below rejections and/or objections the examiner has considered and addressed each of the applicant's arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 7 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ohashi et al (USPN 6,487,856).

Ohashi et al disclose a stand-alone hydrostatic pump comprising a pump housing (120, in Fig. 3) and endcaps (130a, 130b). The endcaps are shown in Figs. 7 and 8 and include a pair of system passages (131), each system passage being connected to the hydrostatic pump (note the kidney openings at the center of the figures), and each system passage is fluidly connected to a pair of system ports (the openings at the opposite ends of the passages) in an exterior of the endcap housing. Further there are plugs (161) which close the ends of the passages. As clearly shown at least the lower illustrated port of the passages is configured to convey operating fluid between the pump and a motor. The examiner notes that the limitation "configured to convey..." sets

Art Unit: 3746

forth an intended use. The other ends of the passages also have port openings. These openings are configured to convey the operating fluid between the pump and a motor since they are capable of receiving a fluid line to a motor if the valve were removed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohashi et al as set forth in the Rejections of March 3 and July 27, 2006. In the March 3, 2006 rejection the examiner gave official notice that the use of case drains as claimed was well known. The applicant's failure to traverse this position is taken as an admission that the case drains are well known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 13-16 are allowed.

Claims 2-6, 8-12 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3746

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 25, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues against Ohashi et al stating that Ohashi et al's system passages terminate in a single system port. The applicant argues that in Ohashi et al the ports have check valves mounted therein and Ohashi et al does not suggest removing them.

The examiner disagrees with these arguments. First, it is noted that the only limitation placed on the system ports is that they are capable of conveying fluid to a separate motor. All of the ports shown at the ends of the system passages are capable of conveying fluid to a separate motor and thus the ports at each end of the system passages are system ports. The only thing a port has to do to be capable of conveying fluid is be capable of receiving a fluid line to transport the fluid. All of the ports in Ohashi et al are capable of receiving lines and are thus configured to convey fluid to a separate motor. Second, it is important to note that a separate motor has not been claimed. Merely the capability to deliver fluid from a port to a motor. Thirdly, with regards to the applicant's arguments that if the check valves or plugs were removed then the systems would be depressurized and the system would be inoperable. The examiner notes that the same can be said of the applicant's device. For the pump of the current application to be operable, a plug or some other member must close the ports which are not conveying system fluid (note paragraph [0052]).

Art Unit: 3746

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles G. Freay whose telephone number is 571-272-4827. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,001

Art Unit: 3746

001 Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles G Freay
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3746

CGF July 21, 2007